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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This project was a joint effort between Correctional Service Canada (CSC), Métis National Council (MNC) and Métis Provincial Council of British Columbia (MPCBC), in order to examine the needs of Métis federal offenders while incarcerated and upon release into the community. This involved three components: interviews with 64 Métis offenders incarcerated in federal correctional facilities in British Columbia; interviews with 17 family members; and, focus groups with staff in 8 federal correctional facilities.

Because of their over-representation within the correctional system (4% of federal inmate population versus 0.7% of Canadian population), and because the current programs and services may not be appropriate for them, Métis offenders may require different interventions than non-Aboriginal and First Nations offenders. It is necessary to examine what programs and services are in place, and what services Métis offenders require for successful reintegration. The present research attempts to answer this, by addressing the following questions:

1. What are the characteristics of federal Métis offenders interviewed in British Columbia? How do Métis offenders differ from other Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal offenders?
2. What programs are federal Métis offenders participating in within the institution and upon release? Are Métis offenders participating in programs that address areas of high need?
3. What programs and services do federal Métis offenders require within correctional facilities and once they are released into the community?
4. What programs and services do the families of Métis offenders require?
5. What information or training do correctional staff have regarding Métis offenders and the Métis culture?
Profile of Métis Offenders

The study found that federal Métis offenders tend to be young, single, have low education and high unemployment and substantial need for program intervention in a number of areas, in particular personal/emotional issues, substance abuse, criminal associates and attitude. This profile is similar to First Nations offenders and the Canadian Aboriginal population generally. Furthermore, Métis offenders have more extensive criminal histories and different criminogenic needs than non-Aboriginal inmates.

Although Métis offenders have similar demographic and criminal profiles as First Nations offenders, there are some differences in other characteristics that may indicate a need for different styles of intervention between Métis and First Nations. While large proportions of First Nations offenders reside in rural areas or on reserve, Métis offenders tend to live in urban areas. Furthermore, there are differences between Métis and First Nations offenders in culture, as indicated in Aboriginal language, involvement in Aboriginal activities, and feelings of identity. Therefore, although the reasons for their incarceration and their criminogenic needs may be similar, differences in home environment and cultural characteristics indicate the importance of incorporating Métis history and culture into programs for Métis offenders. For instance, a healing lodge in a remote setting may not be effective for many Métis offenders. Similarly, the use of First Nations Elders, sweat lodges and sweet grass ceremonies may not be meaningful for Métis offenders.

Program Participation

Almost all of the Métis offenders who were interviewed (98%) reported that they had participated in correctional programs while incarcerated. One-quarter said they had participated in programs while on conditional release. The largest proportion participated in core programs relating to substance abuse, anger management, cognitive skills and educational programs. Almost two-thirds of the Métis offenders said
that they participated in Aboriginal-specific programs. However, only two Métis offenders said they were involved in Métis-specific programs, such as substance abuse counselling.

**Addressing Criminogenic Needs of Métis Offenders**

An examination of the criminogenic needs that Métis offenders have upon intake, and their program involvement, indicates that Métis offenders have their diverse criminogenic needs addressed by correctional programming. For instance, 80% of the Métis offenders who were rated as having some or considerable need in substance abuse have participated in substance abuse programs. Furthermore, many of the offenders feel that the programs were useful. However, it is not clear whether the programs meet Métis offenders’ cultural or spiritual needs.

Although the proportion of offenders with some or considerable need decreased from intake to the time of release for some need areas, large proportions of Métis offenders were still rated as having needs for intervention. Although the programs in place target the criminogenic needs identified at intake, the offenders may not respond fully to the programs unless they are given in an appropriate cultural context and in a way that is meaningful to the lives of Métis offenders.

In interviews, Métis offenders reported having different programming needs from non-Aboriginal offenders because of differences in spiritual and cultural beliefs. However, only about one-quarter of the Métis offenders said that they had different needs from First Nations offenders. Those that noted differences indicated that Métis and First Nations come from different cultures. Those that said there were no differences noted that Métis and First Nations offenders are treated the same and struggle with issues of identity.

Métis offenders clearly have a broad range of needs when entering the federal correctional system and upon release to the community. Some of the programs in place
are attempting to address these issues and those interviewed feel the programs are useful. However, it is also clear that they feel that the cultural aspect is missing from the programs. In order to make the programs most effective, it was noted that the programs need to be Métis-specific and facilitated by Métis staff. Another common theme was the need for community support and programs for Métis offenders in the community.

At the time of release, some of the respondents indicated the need for different services and programs for Métis offenders. However, others noted the need for services that appear similar to First Nations and non-Aboriginal offenders - such as employment, housing, financial support, community support, etc. However, they think that these services need to be provided by Métis organizations.

**Needs of Family**

The results also illustrated the needs of family members of Métis offenders. While incarcerated, it was felt that family members need contact with the offender, as well as financial assistance. Upon release, family members may need community support and counselling services. These point to the importance of having a network in place to provide assistance for families. These needs are likely similar to the needs of family members of all offenders. However, in order to make the services most effective, providing them in the appropriate cultural setting is important.

**Staff**

Based on focus groups with federal institutional staff, it appears that staff have little knowledge of Métis culture or training in the area. Although staff realize there are differences between Métis and First Nations culture, many said that they were not aware of what the differences were, nor how to address the differences. They were also not sure whether there was a need for all staff to have an in-depth understanding of Métis culture.
Summary

This study has provided a better understanding of federal Métis offenders. Although they are similar to First Nations offenders in terms of socio-demographic characteristics, offence profile and criminogenic needs, they differ in home environment and cultural aspects. Furthermore, although they are participating in programs that relate to their criminogenic needs while incarcerated, tailoring the programs to make them more relevant to their lifestyles may make them more effective. There is clearly the feeling among Métis offenders that they require different programs from non-Aboriginal offenders, and perhaps different programs from First Nations offenders, to make them more meaningful to them. Perhaps the incorporation of information about Métis history and culture into programs would help make the programs more meaningful for Métis offenders.

The family members of Métis offenders also have diverse needs, an area requiring more attention. The involvement of Métis communities in addressing the needs of family members and Métis offenders upon release may make reintegration efforts more successful. Better training for correctional staff about Métis culture may also help improve the outcome for Métis offenders. Information sessions for staff on Métis culture could aid in a better understanding of differences between Métis and First Nations offenders.
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INTRODUCTION

This project was a joint effort between Correctional Service Canada (CSC), Métis National Council (MNC) and Métis Provincial Council of British Columbia (MPCBC). The MNC is the national representative of the Métis Nation in Canada. Working with CSC, the MNC wants to enhance the role of Métis communities so they can be actively involved in reducing the over-representation of Métis offenders. However, first of all, it is important to determine what the needs of Métis offenders and their families are. It is in this context that this research project was borne.

The project examined the needs of federal Métis offenders while incarcerated and upon release into the community. This involved three components: interviews with offenders incarcerated in federal correctional facilities in British Columbia; interviews with family members; and, focus groups with staff in correctional facilities.

Métis Offenders

It is clear that Aboriginal persons are over-represented within the criminal justice system (e.g., Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples, 1996; Saskatchewan Métis Justice Review Committee, 1992; Solicitor General of Canada, 1988; Task Force on the Criminal Justice System and its Impact on the Indian and Métis People of Alberta, 1991; Trevethan, Tremblay & Carter, 2000). As reported by the Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples (1996) "Reports and inquiries… have not only confirmed the fact of over-representation [of Aboriginal offenders in the criminal justice system] but, most alarmingly, have demonstrated that the problem is getting worse, not better".

The January 2001 Speech from the Throne illustrates the priority of addressing issues facing Aboriginal people. It says:

…it is a tragic reality that too many Aboriginal people are finding themselves in conflict with the law. Canada must take the measures needed to significantly reduce the percentage of Aboriginal people entering the criminal justice system,
so that within a generation it is no higher than the Canadian average (Government of Canada, 2001).

As with other Aboriginal groups, Métis offenders are over-represented in the criminal justice system (Saskatchewan Métis Justice Review Committee, 1992; Task Force on the Criminal Justice System and its Impact on the Indian and Métis People of Alberta, 1991). Although they comprise 0.7% of the Canadian population, Métis offenders account for 4% of the federal inmate population. In terms of actual numbers, there are approximately 600 Métis offenders incarcerated in federal correctional facilities, and another 300 serving time in the community. Métis offenders comprise more than one-quarter (27%) of the Aboriginal federal offenders in Canada (CSC, 2002).

Although the differences have not been extensively examined, a few studies have indicated that Métis offenders differ from First Nations and Inuit offenders (Moore, 2002; Motiuk & Nafekh, 2000). The differences are reflected in the offences for which they are incarcerated and their criminogenic needs at intake into federal correctional facilities. Motiuk and Nafekh (2000) found significant differences between Métis, First Nations, Inuit and non-Aboriginal offenders on the offences they were incarcerated for, as well as their needs upon admission. Moore (2002) found that, similar to First Nations, Métis offenders have an extensive criminal history. However, in terms of offences, larger proportions of Métis offenders were incarcerated for robbery, break and enter, and drug offences than other Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal groups. Furthermore, Métis offenders were more likely to experience problems related to employment and social interaction, compared to other Aboriginal offenders.

In addition to their over-representation, the experience of Métis offenders during incarceration may indicate the need for targeted services. According to a report by Manitoba Métis Federation (2002), when Métis offenders enter federal institutions they are identified as Aboriginal, which most often refers to “First Nations”. Because they do not identify as First Nations, some Métis offenders do without any Aboriginal services or supports during their incarceration. Alternatively, those who seek an Aboriginal
connection are provided with programs and services that include practices that are not part of their culture (e.g., sweat lodges and sweet grass). These institutional alternatives that direct Métis offenders into culturally inappropriate programs may be less effective in the reintegration efforts than anticipated.

A similar set of circumstances confronts Métis offenders upon release. Like First Nations people, the reintegration process of Métis offenders and their families is assisted by post-release supports and services. However, these services may be inappropriate for Métis offenders. Although there are several programs and services for Aboriginal offenders, these are not specifically focused on Métis individuals and families.

Because of their over-representation within the correctional system, and because the current programs may not be appropriate, Métis offenders may require different interventions than non-Aboriginal or First Nations offenders. It is necessary to examine why current programs and services may not be appropriate for Métis offenders, and to examine what the services should look like. The present research attempts to answer this question.

**Present Study**

The present study was conducted to examine the needs of Métis offenders. The major research questions for this study include:

1. What are the characteristics of federal Métis offenders interviewed in British Columbia? How do Métis offenders differ from other Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal offenders?
2. What programs are federal Métis offenders participating in within the institution and upon release? Are Métis offenders participating in programs that address areas of high need?
3. What programs and services do federal Métis offenders require within correctional facilities and once they are released into the community?
4. What programs and services do the families of Métis offenders require?
5. What information or training do correctional staff have regarding Métis offenders and the Métis culture?

This information should help CSC and Métis organizations better understand how to work with Métis offenders to successfully reintegrate them the community. It may provide information leading to different strategies for dealing with Métis offenders while incarcerated. Further, it may provide information on the best approach for implementing Section 81 and 84 of the *Corrections and Conditional Release Act (CCRA)* for Métis offenders. The purpose of these sections of the *CCRA* is to aid Aboriginal offenders in their successful reintegration by using traditional healing methods. Information from this project could lead to a second phase that would target specific communities and examine what services are available for section 81 or 84.
METHOD

This project is a descriptive examination of Métis offenders in federal institutions in British Columbia. In order to gather the necessary information, the following data sources were utilized:

- offender files
- interviews with offenders
- interviews with family members
- focus groups with federal institutional staff

Offender Files

A review of offender case files, using CSC’s Offender Management System (OMS), was conducted to examine the socio-demographic characteristics of the offenders, current offence, criminal history, and static and dynamic factors (see Appendix B for a list of variables examined). This information was primarily gathered through the Offender Intake Assessment (OIA) process. CSC’s OIA process collects and stores information on each federal offender’s criminal and mental health background, social situation and education, factors relevant to determining criminal risk (such as number, variety of convictions and previous exposure, response to youth and adult corrections), and factors relevant to identifying offender dynamic needs (such as employment history, family background, criminal associations, addictions, attitudes). While the results help determine institutional placement and correctional plans, a distribution of selected criminal history and case need variables can result in a comprehensive profile of the federal offender population.

A comparison between Métis, First Nations and non-Aboriginal offenders was undertaken in order to examine differences in the profiles of Métis offenders and other offenders.
Offender Interviews

Interviews with federal Métis offenders provided more extensive information than was available through offender case files. In particular, interviews provided some personal information not available in case files, and allowed for more in-depth discussions about the needs of offenders. An interview tool was developed in consultation with a steering committee.

Interview questions were designed to examine six key areas: background information on the offender; childhood experiences; family problems; current relationship with family; program participation; and, needs. The structured interviews included both closed and open-ended questions. The interview questions are included as Appendix C. Respondents were individually interviewed by an Aboriginal consultant hired by MPCBC.

The sample for this study consisted of male and female offenders incarcerated at selected federal institutions in British Columbia. Sixty-four Métis respondents were selected through a convenience sample of inmates who were "on-count" in each institution at the time of the study.

The interview took anywhere from 30 minutes to 2 hours to complete, depending on the amount of information provided. An average interview took about 45 minutes.

During the interviews, a few respondents recorded as non-Métis in the files said that they were Métis. It was decided to include them within the sample if they self-identified as being Métis. Therefore, the total male sample included 62 Métis males and two Métis females. The following indicates the breakdown of interviews conducted at each institution:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Security</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Offenders</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regional Health Centre</td>
<td>Max</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kent Institution</td>
<td>Max</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Head Institution</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mountain Institution</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mission Institution</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matsqui Institution</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kwikwèxwelhp</td>
<td>Min</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferndale Institution</td>
<td>Min</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Reception Centre</td>
<td>Multi</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sumas Community Correctional Centre</td>
<td>CCC</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British Columbia Correctional Centre for Women</td>
<td>Multi</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>64</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Family Interviews**

Each of the offenders who were interviewed were asked to provide the name of one or more family members with whom they have maintained contact that they felt comfortable with us contacting. A total of 35 family members were identified.

A structured interview was developed for family members of the Métis offenders who were interviewed. Interview questions examined four key areas: background; relationship to offender; needs of offender; and, family needs. The structured interviews included both closed and open-ended questions. The interview questions are included in Appendix D.

The family members were contacted by telephone and asked if they were willing to be interviewed for the project. If they were willing to participate, they were given the opportunity to conduct the interview in person or over the phone. Seventeen family members were interviewed, and all chose to be interviewed by phone.
Fourteen of the 17 family members (82%) were female. Eight were Métis, three were First Nations, and six were non-Aboriginal. Six of the family members were siblings, five were spouses/common-law, four were mothers, one was another family member and one was a family friend.

Staff Focus Groups

In addition to interviews with Métis offenders and family members, focus groups were held with staff from some of the federal institutions in British Columbia. Questions focused on staff knowledge and understanding of Métis culture, the needs of offenders and family members, and programs available for Métis offenders. Appendix E indicates the questions addressed in the focus groups.

Focus groups were held in the following institutions: William Head Institution, Mountain Institution, Kent Institution, Regional Reception Centre, Matsqui Institution, Mission Institution, Kwikwèxwelhp, and the Regional Health Centre. Staff involved in the focus group included native liaison officers, parole officers, unit managers, and therapists.

Process

The project began with the creation of a steering committee. This committee was comprised of representatives of the MPCBC, MNC, and CSC (national headquarters and the Pacific region). An Aboriginal contractor was hired to conduct the interviews and focus groups. Following an initial meeting with the steering committee, interview and focus group questions were prepared and agreed upon by the steering committee.

The Warden of each institution was contacted to discuss the research and to suggest staff who could participate in the focus group. The Assistant Warden of Correctional Programming of each institution was then contacted to set up interview dates and to organize any information sessions that they felt should take place prior to the interviews.
Interviews were conducted with offenders in the 11 institutions. During the same timeframe, focus groups were conducted. Finally, family members were contacted and interviews were conducted.

The interviews were sent to CSC for data input. Open-ended questions were examined and, where appropriate, themes were developed and coded for analysis. Once a dataset was prepared, analyses were conducted to address the research questions.
As previously described, the study sample consisted of 64 Métis offenders incarcerated at federal institutions in British Columbia. Preliminary analyses examined whether differences existed between the study sample and the population of Métis offenders identified through the OMS. The 64 Métis offenders interviewed comprised 65% of the Métis offenders incarcerated in correctional facilities in British Columbia. Although some differences were found between the offenders in the sample and those in British Columbia federal correctional facilities, these differences did not reach significance. Therefore, the results can be generalized to federal Métis offenders in British Columbia.

The following describes the specific analyses examining the five research questions described earlier. See Appendix A for all tables referred to in the report.

**Profile of Métis Offenders**

An examination of the profiles of the Métis offenders who were interviewed was undertaken. They were also compared to First Nations and non-Aboriginal offenders in federal correctional facilities in British Columbia.

**Socio-demographic characteristics**

Métis offenders are fairly similar to First Nations and non-Aboriginal offenders on demographic characteristics. As illustrated in Figure, 1, there were similar proportions of women among the three groups. Further, the largest proportions of all offenders were single at time of admission to federal custody (also see Table 1).

One difference that emerged between the groups was age. Métis offenders tended to be younger at admission to federal custody than non-Aboriginal offenders. More than two-thirds (69%) of Métis offenders were less than 35 at the time of admission, compared to only about one-half (55%) of non-Aboriginal offenders. Métis and First
Nations offenders were similar in age. The mean age for Métis and First Nations offenders was 31 and 32, respectively, compared to 35 for non-Aboriginal offenders.

![Socio-Demographic Characteristics](image)

Although larger proportions of Métis than non-Aboriginal offenders had not completed high school upon admission to the institution (77% versus 68%), this difference was not significant. The difference between First Nations and non-Aboriginal offenders was significant (85% versus 68%). However, no significant differences emerged between Métis and First Nations offenders.

There were no significant differences between Métis, First Nations and non-Aboriginal offenders in terms of unemployment at the time of admission. About seven out of every 10 offenders were unemployed at the time of arrest.

**Current offence**

As illustrated in Figure 2, the most serious offence for which Métis offenders were currently incarcerated was similar to First Nations and non-Aboriginal offenders. The two most serious offences for which Métis offenders were currently incarcerated were
homicide (35%) and robbery (24%). A smaller proportion of Métis offenders were currently incarcerated for sex offences than First Nations offenders (8% versus 20%) (also see Table 2). The differences were not significant between Métis and non-Aboriginal offenders.

The mean aggregate sentence for Métis offenders was 6.6 years. This did not differ significantly from First Nations (7.1 years) or non-Aboriginal offenders (7.0 years).¹

**Criminal history**

Métis offenders differ from non-Aboriginal offenders in terms of criminal histories, but not significantly from First Nations offenders (see Table 3). Larger proportions of Métis than non-Aboriginal offenders had previous youth court convictions (73% versus 55%), previous community supervision (91% versus 74%) and had served previous provincial sentences (84% versus 70%). Furthermore, larger proportions of Métis offenders had

¹ Mean aggregate sentence is calculated with life sentences removed.
failed on previous community supervision compared to non-Aboriginal offenders (84% versus 60%) and had been reclassified to higher levels of security (41% versus 25%).

Although Métis offenders had more extensive criminal histories than First Nations offenders, these differences did not reach significance.

**Static and dynamic factors**

Although larger proportions of Métis than non-Aboriginal offenders were rated high risk to re-offend (79% versus 67%), these differences did not reach significance (Table 4). However, a significantly larger proportion of First Nations than non-Aboriginal offenders were rated as high risk to re-offend (81% versus 67%).

As illustrated in Figure 3, Métis offenders in federal custody in British Columbia are rated as having different needs for programming than non-Aboriginal offenders (also see Table 4).

---

2 The reasons for these failures are not available.
A larger proportion of Métis than non-Aboriginal offenders had some or considerable need in the areas of substance abuse (95% versus 76%) and employment (77% versus 62%). No significant differences emerged between Métis and First Nations offenders. However, more differences existed between First Nations and non-Aboriginal offenders.

No significant differences emerged between Métis, First Nations and non-Aboriginal offenders on motivation for intervention. However, a significantly smaller proportion of Métis and First Nations offenders were considered to have high reintegration potential, compared to non-Aboriginal offenders (7% and 10% versus 24%).

In sum, Métis offenders tend to be young, single, have low education and high unemployment, which is similar to First Nations offenders and the Canadian Aboriginal population generally. Furthermore, Métis offenders have more extensive criminal histories and different criminogenic needs than non-Aboriginal inmates. However, there are fewer differences between Métis and non-Aboriginal offenders than between First Nations and non-Aboriginal offenders in criminogenic needs.

**Culture and family background**

Additional information was gathered through the interviews with the Métis offenders (Tables 5 and 6) and compared to information from previous studies. In terms of Aboriginal culture, Métis offenders differ from First Nations offenders in some areas, but less so in other ways. First of all, 23% said that they understand or speak an Aboriginal language. However, 62% said that they followed a traditional native religion. A previous study found that substantially more First Nations offenders (67%) understand or speak an Aboriginal language (Trevethan, Auger, Moore, MacDonald & Sinclair, 2002).

Interestingly, 42% of the Métis offenders interviewed said that they were attached to Métis culture. However, three-quarters (74%) said that they were attached to other Aboriginal culture. Furthermore, 71% said that they participate in traditional Aboriginal
activities. This included activities such as Aboriginal arts and crafts, drum making, traditional dancing/drumming, pow-wows, and sweat lodges. According to Trevethan et al., 78% of First Nations offenders were attached to Aboriginal culture.

The largest proportion of the Métis offenders said that they grew up in an urban area, either a small city (30%) or a large city (25%). A further 37% grew up in a rural community and 6% on a reserve. At the time of arrest, an even larger proportion of the Métis offenders were living in an urban area (53% a large city; 33% a small city). At the time of the arrest, about one-third (34%) had been in that location for less than one year. A further one-quarter (27%) had been there between one and five years, 19% between six and 15 years, and 20% more than 15 years. Métis offenders are more likely than First Nations offenders to be in urban areas when arrested - about 86% of Métis offenders were living in urban areas when arrested, compared to about 75% of First Nations offenders (Trevethan et al., 2002).

The largest proportion of the Métis offenders said that they currently considered a city as home (37% a large city and 31% a small city). A further one-third (31%) considered a rural community as home, and only 2% a reserve. Similarly, the largest proportion thought a city was the best place to be released (36% small city, 20% large city). However, about one-third (35%) said that a rural community would be the best place to be released. The largest proportion of the respondents said that they planned to live in a city upon release (38% small city; 31% large city). The most common areas where the Métis offenders planned to be released were to: Vancouver, Victoria, Kelowna, Prince George, Surrey, Edmonton, and Abbotsford.

Table 6 provides information on family background and current relationships. The largest proportion of respondents indicated that their primary caregiver while growing up was a parent (67%). However, one-fifth (20%) were raised by a non-family member, such as foster parents or child welfare agencies. Most (84%) said that they were attached to their primary caregiver. Only about one-half said that they grew up in a good economic situation (53%), had a stable childhood (56%) and were happy during their childhood (52%). Many experienced problems during their childhood, such as
violence and drug use in the home (83% and 73%, respectively), as well as violence in their community (89%). Further, two-thirds (66%) said that they had family members involved in crime.

Fewer than one-half of the Métis offenders interviewed said that they currently had contact with their spouse/common-law partner (46%) and children (48%). Larger proportions (88%) said that they currently had contact with other family members, such as siblings and mother.

These findings indicate that, although Métis offenders are similar in socio-demographic characteristics, offence profiles and criminogenic needs as First Nations offenders, they differ in location of residence and cultural experiences. Métis offenders typically live in urban settings. They also tend to follow Aboriginal traditions, although some follow Métis culture and others First Nations culture. Most do not speak an Aboriginal language. As with other federal offenders, many had difficult home environments during childhood, including violence and substance abuse in the home.

Although the reasons for their incarceration and their criminogenic needs may be similar, differences in home environment and cultural characteristics point to a need for different methods of intervention for Métis than for First Nations offenders. For instance, a healing lodge in a remote setting may not be effective for many Métis offenders. Similarly, the use of First Nations Elders, sweat lodges and sweet grass ceremonies may not be meaningful for Métis offenders.

**Program Participation**

A second question focused on what programs Métis offenders are participating in within the institution and upon release. Further, it examined whether Métis offenders are participating in programs that address areas of high need. It should be noted that CSC offers core and non-core programs. Core programs include substance abuse, education, family violence, living skills, and sex offending. These programs are
determined based upon the criminogenic needs identified in the offenders’ correctional plan. Non-core programs refer to programs that are not standardized across CSC.

An examination was undertaken of the programs Métis offenders said they had participated in. Almost all respondents (98%) said that they were aware of the programs available in the correctional facility. Similarly, 98% said that they had participated in institutional programs at some point in their sentence. As illustrated in Figure 4, the largest proportion said they had participated in programs for substance abuse (77%) (also see Table 7). Further, approximately two-thirds participated in programs for anger management, cognitive skills, and education (66%, 66%, and 62%, respectively). Fifty-seven percent received psychological or counselling services and 56% participated in employment programs. Approximately one-half (52%) said they had participated in some form of cultural programming. As noted earlier, CSC has core programs. Therefore, it is not surprising that the largest proportion of the respondents would have participated in core programs relating to substance abuse, anger management, cognitive skills and education as part of their correctional plan. Cultural programs are currently considered a non-mandatory part of the plan.

![Figure 4: Program Participation](image-url)
One-quarter (25%) of the respondents said they had participated in programs while on conditional release. Of those involved in programs, the largest proportion had participated in substance abuse programming (94%).

Almost two-thirds (61%) said that they participated in Aboriginal-specific programs. Some of the Aboriginal-specific programs included substance abuse, cultural programs, and anger management (such as the “In Search of Your Warrior” program). However, only two offenders said they were involved in Métis-specific programs, such as substance abuse counselling.

At intake, offenders often present with a multitude of criminogenic needs and those who are high need in one area also tend to be high need in other areas. Furthermore, during the course of incarceration, offenders often participate in a number of programs. Therefore, it is difficult to examine whether specific needs are being targeted by specific programs. Table 8 indicates whether those who were high need at time of admission participated in different types of programs. Overall, the largest proportion of the respondents who had some or considerable need in any of the seven domains participated in substance abuse programs.

Specific need domains can also be examined to determine if those who are high in a certain need tend to participate in programs that address that need. Large proportions of Métis offenders who were rated as having some or considerable need in substance abuse have participated in substance abuse (80%) and cognitive/living skills (66%) programs. Further, large proportions of Métis offenders who were rated as having some or considerable need in employment have participated in employment (58%) and educational (62%) programs. Approximately six out of 10 offenders with some or considerable need in the personal/emotional domain have had their needs addressed in anger management (64%), counselling (57%) and psychological services (57%). Large proportions of those with some or considerable need in marital/family issues have been involved in anger management programs (65%).
The majority of respondents involved in programs said that they completed them (90%). As illustrated in Table 7, of those who completed programs, the largest proportions were in substance abuse programs (76%), anger management (76%), cognitive/living skills (70%), education (59%), psychological services (56%), and counselling (52%). Fewer than one-half completed employment programs (48%), cultural (26%), pre-release\(^3\) (24%), and sex offender programs (13%). It is possible that some of the respondents were still involved in the programs which is why they had not completed them. Twelve respondents provided reasons why they did not complete a program. A few people noted that the programs were not Métis-specific. Other reasons included: being transferred to another institution, conflict of interest, and involvement in incidents resulting in them being removed from the program.

As illustrated in Table 7, the majority of respondents felt that the programs they participated in were useful. This ranged from 63% of those who commented on psychological services to 100% of those who commented on pre-release and sex offender programs.\(^4\) Of those who said that certain programs have been useful, some said that the programs focused on the underlying factors that caused the problem, provided skills on how to deal with their issues, and provided support. Many people noted that the cultural aspect of the programs made them most effective.

Among those who said that programs were not very useful, one reason given was that it was difficult to get group dynamics going. Further, some noted that the programs were too simplistic and didn't always focus on the most relevant areas. Finally, some respondents said that the facilitators lacked hands-on experience.

Overall, a considerable number of Métis offenders in the present sample have had their diverse criminogenic needs addressed by programming. Furthermore, generally they feel that the programs were useful. However, it is not clear whether the programs meet

\(^3\) Pre-release programs include temporary absences for personal development, community service, family contact, and parental duties.

\(^4\) Note: the numbers of respondents who rated the usefulness of sex offender programs and pre-release were small (1 and 4, respectively). Therefore, the results should be interpreted with caution.
Métis offenders’ cultural or spiritual needs. Although the programs target criminogenic needs identified at intake, the offenders may not respond fully to the programs unless they are given in an appropriate cultural context and in a way that is meaningful to the lives of Métis offenders.

**Addressing Criminogenic Needs of Métis Offenders**

As indicated earlier, substantial numbers of Métis offenders in federal custody are rated as having some or considerable need on each dynamic need domain at the time of admission to the federal facility. An examination of needs at intake and prior to release was conducted for those who had needs assessments completed at both time periods. As illustrated in Figure 5, Métis offenders have substantial criminogenic needs at both intake and prior to release into the community. However, fewer had some or considerable need on substance abuse (92% versus 80%), attitude (84% versus 68%) and employment (80% versus 68%) at the time of release. Interestingly, larger proportions had some or considerable need for marital/family issues (87% versus 79%) and associates (88% versus 84%) at the time of release. This may be because, at the time of release, these areas are more predominant than during a period of incarceration.

An additional analysis was conducted to examine significant differences between criminogenic needs at intake and release. It was found that Métis offenders were rated as significantly lower need upon release to the community for substance abuse (mean 3.6 versus 3.2), personal/emotional issues (mean 3.8 versus 3.5), and attitude (mean 3.4 versus 3.0). This suggests that some needs are being addressed while the offenders are incarcerated. However, large proportions still have significant needs at release. It is possible that the programs would be more effective if conducted in an appropriate cultural context for Métis offenders.

---

5 Means are calculated using a 4-point scale, with 1 indicating an asset, 2 indicating no need, 3 indicating some need, and 4 indicating considerable need.
Information from the interviews confirms the findings from the needs assessments. Large proportions of the Métis interviewed said they were facing issues relating to substance abuse and self esteem (77% each) at the time of incarceration (Table 9). Furthermore, more than two-thirds (69%) said they had issues associated with anger. About one-half of the offenders said they had financial (56%), violence (53%), employment (50%), mental health (48%), and marital/family (45%) issues at intake. Smaller proportions faced housing issues (34%), health issues (30%), and death in the family (23%).

Clearly, Métis offenders face a number of varied issues while incarcerated and upon release into the community.

The offenders were asked if they thought they had different needs from non-Aboriginal offenders and from other Aboriginal offenders. Overall, 57% of the respondents reported different needs from non-Aboriginal offenders. However, the differences are not in the area of criminogenic needs, but perhaps best refer to responsivity needs.
Over two-thirds (69%) of those who said they had different needs from non-Aboriginal offenders noted that the differences related to spiritual and cultural aspects.

About one-quarter (27%) of the Métis offenders interviewed said that they have different needs from other Aboriginal offenders. Of those who said that the needs of Métis and First Nations offenders are similar, about one-half (42%) noted that they were similar because they are treated the same and/or struggle with issues of identity. However, some noted the importance of addressing the needs differently. Others felt that the Métis culture is very different from the First Nations culture. One offender who thought that Métis offenders had different needs noted:

\[\text{Métis culture is who I am. I just keep getting First Nations culture and that is not who I am. I went to a pow-wow one time and I was uncomfortable there.}\]

The offenders were also asked what their needs as a Métis person are in the institution and upon release to the community. Of those who responded, a large proportion (54%) indicated the need for knowledge or awareness about Métis culture. A further 28% noted the need for more Métis-specific programs and 28% said there was a need for Métis facilitators and staff. A small proportion (6%) indicated the need for more Métis community involvement. According to one respondent:

\[\text{[I need] recognition for who I am as a Métis person. Someone that could teach us about our beliefs and culture and how it's different from First Nations.}\]

Upon release to the community, respondents noted the need for Métis community support (40%), financial support (22%), employment (16%), cultural support (15%), housing (15%), education (9%) and family support (9%). According to one respondent:

\[\text{I need Métis support for housing and to help me get set up in the community. A Métis organization to support me in my parole hearing.}\]
The offenders were asked what programs they would like to see in the institution or in the community. A large proportion said that they saw the need for more cultural programs. One-third (33%) noted cultural programs in general and 22% noted Métis-specific programs in particular. Many noted the need for programs in the community. In particular, some respondents noted the need for education/employment programs, release/transition programs, and cognitive/living skills in the community. According to one respondent:

*There should be different kinds of programs offered. More programs will have more benefits to the inmates as well as the community. Programs change many people's life styles and in the long run save everybody from the damage drugs or abuse can do. Programs break the cycle.*

In sum, Métis offenders clearly have a broad range of criminogenic needs when entering the federal correctional system and upon release to the community. Some of the programs in place are attempting to address these issues. Overall, those interviewed tend to feel that the programs have been useful. However, they also note that the cultural aspect is missing from programs. Another common theme was the need for community support and programs for Métis offenders in the community. Although the programs are targeting criminogenic needs, to make them most effective for Métis offenders, it may be important to include cultural aspects.

**Needs of Family Members**

In addition to examining the needs of Métis offenders while incarcerated and upon release to the community, this study also examined the needs of the families of Métis offenders (Table 10).

The largest proportion of offenders interviewed felt that their family members needed more contact with the offender (48%). About one-fifth (21%) said that family members need to better understand the situation the offender is facing while incarcerated. Other
areas of need while the offender is incarcerated include finances, housing and medical needs. Upon release to the community, the offenders felt that their family members needed a commitment from the offender (35%), contact (29%), support (21%), counselling (19%), a better understanding of the offender (15%). Other issues suggested by the offender include help in the areas of such as finances, housing and health.

Of the 17 family members interviewed, 82% said that they had experienced difficulties in their life - most often substance abuse.

Although some of the family members didn't answer the question, seven out of the 12 who provided answers (58%) said that they have services available to them while their family member is incarcerated. These programs include visitation, counselling, social services and Elder services. Furthermore, seven out of 10 of the family members who provided answers (70%) think that services will be available to them once the offender is released.

Forty-three percent of family members felt that they would need support from their family and community when their incarcerated family member is released. Others noted the need for contact with the offender (21%) and a few said that they would need some form of counselling or access to psychological services at the time of release. These results point to the importance of having a network in place to provide assistance for families when needed.

These needs of family members of Métis offenders are likely similar to the needs of family members of all offenders. However, in order to make the services most effective, providing them in the appropriate cultural setting is important.
Staff Knowledge

As a final question in this study, focus groups with staff examined what information or training correctional staff have regarding Métis offenders and the Métis culture.

Based on the discussions with institutional staff, it appears that staff have little knowledge of Métis culture. For instance, although the staff noted that they realized there were differences between Métis and First Nations culture, many said that they were not aware of what the differences were, nor how to address the differences. Some noted that the Elders and native liaisons understand Métis culture, so it may not be necessary for all staff to have training in this area.

Importantly, many staff noted that they have little training in the area of Métis culture. Although some have received information through university courses, it was noted that they had not received training in Métis culture through CSC.

This is clearly an important area for further work. Information sessions for staff on Métis culture could aid in a better understanding of differences between Métis and First Nations offenders.
CONCLUSION

The purpose of this project was to examine the needs of federal Métis offenders and their family members while incarcerated, as well as upon release to the community.

Based on a profile of those interviewed, Métis offenders tend to be young, single, have low education, high unemployment and substantial need for program intervention in a number of areas, in particular personal/emotional issues, substance abuse, criminal associates and attitude. This profile is similar to First Nations offenders and the Canadian Aboriginal population in general. Furthermore, Métis offenders have more extensive criminal histories and different needs than non-Aboriginal offenders. Although there are differences between Métis and non-Aboriginal offenders, the socio-demographic profiles of Métis and First Nations offenders are fairly similar.

In terms of childhood experiences, Métis offenders also appear similar to First Nations offenders. This is similar to the findings from Trevethan et al. (2002). Large proportions had an unstable childhood, involvement in the child welfare system, violence in the home and community, and alcohol/drug abuse in the family. However, Métis offenders differ from First Nations offenders in a few ways. Métis offenders are more likely to live in urban areas. Further, they are less likely to understand or speak an Aboriginal language. They are, however, involved in various Aboriginal activities. Differences in the characteristics of Métis offenders indicate the importance of incorporating Métis history and culture into programs for Métis offenders.

A second question focused on what programs Métis offenders are participating in within the institution and upon release. Further, it examined whether Métis offenders are participating in programs that address areas of high need. Overall, almost all of the Métis offenders (98%) said they had participated in institutional programs. More than three-quarters (77%) said they had participated in programs for substance abuse. Other common programs that about two-thirds of the respondents were involved in included anger management, cognitive skills, and educational programs. Fifty-seven
percent received psychological or counselling services and 56% participated in employment programs. About one-half said they had participated in cultural programming. One-quarter of the Métis offenders said they had participated in programs while on parole. These programs related to substance abuse, employment, and culture.

Almost two-thirds (61%) of the offenders said that they participated in Aboriginal-specific programs. Some of the Aboriginal-specific programs included substance abuse, cultural programs, and anger management. Only two offenders said they were involved in Métis-specific programs, such as substance abuse counselling.

An examination of the needs that offenders have upon intake with their program involvement indicates that a considerable number of Métis offenders in the present sample seem to have had their diverse needs addressed by programming. Furthermore, many of the offenders felt that the programs were useful. However, it is not clear whether the programs met Métis offenders' cultural or spiritual needs.

An examination of the needs of Métis offenders within correctional facilities and upon release to the community was also undertaken. Clearly, Métis offenders are facing a number of varied issues while incarcerated and upon release into the community.

In interviews, the largest proportion (57%) of Métis offenders reported having different needs from non-Aboriginal offenders. They focused on differences in spiritual and cultural beliefs. However, only about one-quarter of the Métis offenders said that they had different needs from other Aboriginal offenders. Those that noted differences indicated that Métis and First Nations come from different cultures. Those that said there were no differences tended to say that Métis and First Nations offenders are treated the same and struggle with identity.

Métis offenders clearly have a broad range of needs when entering the federal correctional system, and upon release to the community. Some of the programs in
place are attempting to address these issues and those interviewed feel the programs are useful. However, it is also clear that they feel that the cultural aspect is missing from the programs. It was noted over and over again that the programs need to be Métis-specific, including a focus on Métis rather than First Nations culture, and facilitated by Métis staff. Another common theme was the need for community support and programs for Métis offenders in the community.

Some of the respondents indicated different services and programs for Métis offenders. However, others noted the need for services that appear similar to First Nations and non-Aboriginal offenders - such as employment, housing, financial support, community support, etc. However, it appears that they think that these services need to be provided by Métis organizations.

In addition to examining the needs of Métis offenders while incarcerated and upon release to the community, the needs of Métis offenders' families was also examined. Offenders felt that their family members needed contact/visitation and financial assistance while the offender is incarcerated. Upon release to the community, the offenders felt that their family members needed counselling, support, and better communication. Similarly, family members felt that they would need support from their family and community, as well as counselling services, when the offender was released. These results point to the importance of having a network in place to provide assistance for families when needed.

Finally, focus groups with staff attempted to examine what information or training correctional staff have regarding Métis offenders and the Métis culture. It appears that staff have little knowledge of Métis culture or training in the area. The focus groups illustrated that staff don't receive training about Métis culture. Although the staff noted that they realized there were differences between Métis and First Nations culture, many said that they were not aware of what the differences were, nor how to address the differences.
This project allowed a voice from Métis offenders and their families into their needs. The findings re-affirmed the notion that there is a difference between the Métis culture and other Aboriginal cultures. While there are certain areas where Métis offenders are similar to First Nations and non-Aboriginal offenders, there are other areas where they differ. Overall, the biggest difference noted by offenders related to cultural and spiritual areas. It was noted that Métis offenders need Métis-specific programs and services, both while incarcerated and upon release to the community. Therefore, the programming needs may be similar, but how (and by whom) the information is presented may need to be re-thought. Perhaps the incorporation of information about Métis history and culture into programs would help make the programs more meaningful for Métis offenders.

The information from this study can be used by CSC and Métis organizations to better understand how to implement Section 81 and 84 of the CCRA for Métis offenders. In addition to indicating the need for staff training on Métis culture, the findings from this project could be used to move towards a second phase of research that would target specific communities. The project could examine what Métis services are available for section 81 or 84. In particular, some of the urban centres in British Columbia, such as Vancouver, Victoria, Kelowna, Prince George, and Surrey, were identified as places where the offenders plan to be released. Needs assessments could be examined for these cities.
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Appendix A: Tables
Table 1: Demographic and Socio-Economic Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Métis (1)</th>
<th>First Nations</th>
<th>Non-Aboriginal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>64</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>1382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Institution</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Health Centre</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Reception Centre</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kwikwéxwelhp</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sumas Community Correctional Centre</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferndale Institution</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Head Institution</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mountain Institution</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mission Institution</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matsqui Institution</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kent Institution</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC Correctional Centre for Women</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age at Admission</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;24</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31.3 yrs</td>
<td>32.1 yrs</td>
<td>34.6 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marital Status at Admission</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married/Common-law</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separated/Divorced</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widowed</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education at Admission</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Highschool Diploma</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highschool Diploma</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employment at Arrest</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) The number of Métis refers to the number interviewed, not the number incarcerated.
NS = not significant; * = p <=.05; ** = p<=.01; *** = p <.001
Table 2: Current Most Serious Offence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current Offence</th>
<th>Métis #</th>
<th>Métis %</th>
<th>First Nations #</th>
<th>First Nations %</th>
<th>Non-Aboriginal #</th>
<th>Non-Aboriginal %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>62</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>1382</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most Serious Offence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homicide</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>462</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attempt Murder</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual Assault</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assault</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robbery</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Violent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired Driving</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug-Related Offences</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Criminal Code and Federal Statutes</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Aggregate Sentence (1)</td>
<td>6.6 yrs</td>
<td>7.1 yrs</td>
<td>7.0 yrs</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Mean aggregate sentence is calculated with life sentences removed.

NS = not significant; * = p <=.05; ** = p<=.01; *** = p <.001
### Table 3: Criminal History

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Métis</th>
<th></th>
<th>First Nations</th>
<th></th>
<th>Non-Aboriginal</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Previous Youth Convictions</strong></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Previous Adult Convictions</strong></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>990</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>829</td>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Previous Community Supervision</strong></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>989</td>
<td>**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>731</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Previous Provincial Term</strong></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>990</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>694</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Previous Federal Term</strong></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>990</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>691</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Failed - Community Sanction</strong></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>986</td>
<td>***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>593</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Failed - Conditional Release</strong></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>987</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>576</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Segregation for Disciplinary Infraction</strong></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>955</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>607</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Escape/Attempt/UAL</strong></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>988</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>699</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reclassified to Higher Security</strong></td>
<td>44</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>979</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>739</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>&lt; 6 Months Since Last Incarceration</strong></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>990</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>669</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NS = not significant; * = p <= .05; ** = p <= .01; *** = p < .001
Table 4: Static and Dynamic Factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Métis</th>
<th>First Nations</th>
<th>Non-Aboriginal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Security Level at Admission</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Risk to Re-offend</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall Dynamic Need</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dynamic Factors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment - some/considerable need</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital/Family - some/considerable need</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associates - some/considerable need</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance Abuse - some/considerable need</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community - some/considerable need</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal/Emotional - some/considerable need</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitude - some/considerable need</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Motivation for Intervention</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reintegration Potential</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NS = not significant; * = p <= .05; ** = p <= .01; *** = p <= .001
| Table 5: Other Information on Métis Offenders |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>#</th>
<th>%</th>
<th></th>
<th>#</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Speak/Understand Aboriginal Language</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td>Where do you Consider Home</td>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>Large city</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>Small city</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rural community</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religion</td>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traditional native</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>Reserve community</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protestant</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roman Catholic</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religious</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traditional native</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>Large city</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protestant</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>Small city</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roman Catholic</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>Rural community</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>Reserve community</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>计划 to be Released</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attached to Métis Culture</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>Métis community</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attached to Other Aboriginal Culture</td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participate in Aboriginal Activities - now?</td>
<td>63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participate in Métis Activities - childhood?</td>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Community during Childhood</td>
<td>63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large city</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small city</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural community</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve community</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Community at Arrest</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large city</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small city</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural community</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve community</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NS = not significant; * = p <.05; ** = p<.01; *** = p <.001
Table 6: Family - Background and Current

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>#</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Current Contact with Spouse</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary Caregiver during Childhood</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td>Current Contact with Spouse</td>
<td>59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent(s)</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grandparent(s)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other relative</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-family</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attached to Primary Caregiver</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td>Current Contact with Children</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good Economic Situation While Growing Up</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td>Attached to Children</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stable Childhood</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td>Current Contact with Other Family</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Happy during Childhood</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experienced/Witnessed Violence in Home</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experienced/Witnessed Violence in Community</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug/Alcohol Use in Family</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Involvement in Crime</td>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NS = not significant; * = p <= .05; ** = p <= .01; *** = p < .001
Table 7: Program Participation - Métis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Institutions</th>
<th>Conditional Release</th>
<th>Aboriginal Programs</th>
<th>Program Completion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Programs</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance Abuse</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anger Management</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive/Living Skills</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counselling</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Release</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex Offender</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Usefulness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance Abuse</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anger Management</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive/Living Skills</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counselling</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Release</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex Offender</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NS = not significant; * = p <=.05; ** = p<=.01; *** = p <.001
Table 8: Program Participation by High Dynamic Needs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Programs</th>
<th>Marital/Family</th>
<th>Substance Abuse</th>
<th>Community Functioning</th>
<th>Personal/Emotional</th>
<th>Attitude</th>
<th>Associates</th>
<th>Employment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance Abuse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive/Living Skills</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anger Management</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counselling</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Release</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex Offender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NS = not significant; * = p <=.05; ** = p<.01; *** = p <.001
Table 9: Offender Needs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issues at Time of Incarceration (1)</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Suggestions for Programs (1)</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Substance abuse</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>Cultural programs</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-esteem</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>Métis-specific</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anger</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>Employment/education</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>Substance abuse</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Violence</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>Pre-release</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>Violence</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental health</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>Cognitive/living skills</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family/marital</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death in family</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Do Needs Differ from Non-Aboriginal Inmates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>#</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Do Needs Differ from Other Aboriginal Inmates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>#</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Needs in Institution (1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>#</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Métis cultural knowledge/awareness</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Métis-specific programs</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Métis facilitators/staff</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Métis community involvement</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Needs upon Release to Community (1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>#</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Métis community support</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support in general</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial support</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural support</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing support</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family support</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Respondents may have given more than one answer. Therefore, the total does not equal 100%.

NS = not significant; * = p <=.05; ** = p<=.01; *** = p <.001
Table 10: Family Needs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Offender Interview - Family Needs during Incarceration (1)</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contact</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Understanding offenders' situation</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other needs</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No needs</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Offender Interview - Family Needs at Release (1)</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commitment from offender</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counselling</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Understand offender</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other needs</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No needs</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Services for Family during Incarceration</th>
<th>#</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Services for Family at Release</th>
<th>#</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family Needs during Incarceration (1)</th>
<th>#</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Support</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counselling</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family Needs at Release (1)</th>
<th>#</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Counselling</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Respondents may have given more than one answer. Therefore, the total does not equal 100%.

NS = not significant; * = p <= .05; ** = p <= .01; *** = p <= .001
Appendix B: Offender Case File Information
Offender Case File Information

Offender Characteristics:
- Aboriginal status (non-Aboriginal, Aboriginal)
- Aboriginal group (North American Indian, Métis, Inuit)
- Sex
- Age at most recent admission
- Current age
- Education at most recent admission
- Marital status at most recent admission
- Employment at most recent admission (unemployed, employed)

Offence Characteristics:
- Current offence
- Number of convictions
- Current aggregate sentence length

Criminal History:
- Age at first adult conviction
- Previous youth convictions (yes/no)
- Previous youth court dispositions (community supervision, open/closed custody)
- Previous adult convictions (yes/no)
- Number of previous adult convictions
- Previous adult court sanctions (community supervision, provincial, federal terms)

Risk Factors:
- Security level based on Custody Rating Scale (minimum, medium, maximum)
- Risk to re-offend (low, medium, high)

Dynamic Factors Assessment (at admission AND prior to release):
- Level of Intervention Based on Dynamic Factors: (low, medium, high)
- Employment
- Marital/Family
- Associates/Social Interaction
- Substance Abuse
- Community Functioning
- Personal/Emotional Orientation
- Attitude

Program Database:
- Participation in programs while incarcerated (yes/no)
- Type of programs
- Successful completion
Appendix C: Offender Interview
NEEDS OF MÉTIS OFFENDERS
INTERVIEW QUESTIONS - OFFENDERS

My name is (first name). I'm involved in a project that examines the needs of Métis offenders while in federal correctional facilities and in the community. You're one of a number of inmates we'll be interviewing over the next few weeks. The purpose of this interview is to discuss your needs while in the institution and, once you are released, in the community. For instance, I will be asking you general questions about your background, current relationships, program participation and needs. In addition to this interview, I will be getting some general information from your file, such as your current offence, programs you have been involved in, etc. This information is meant to help develop culturally-appropriate and specific programs for Métis offenders.

Your participation in this interview is voluntary and will be kept strictly confidential. You may stop at any time and if there are questions that you do not feel comfortable answering, please let me know and we will move on. Please feel free to ask me questions during the interview if you need further clarification on anything.

The interview will take approximately 1 hour to complete. Do you have any questions? Can you please sign this to indicate your agreement to participate?

I agree to participate in the interview

___________________________________
(participant name - please print)

_________________________________  ___________________
(participant signature)     (date)
SECTION A: BACKGROUND

I'm going to begin by asking you some general questions about yourself, where you have lived and early involvement in the criminal justice system.

1. What is your current marital status (check one):
   - <1> Single
   - <2> Married
   - <3> Common-Law
   - <4> Divorced
   - <5> Separated
   - <6> Widowed
   - <7> Don't Know
   - <8> Refused

2. Do you understand or speak any Aboriginal languages?
   - <1> Yes (go to follow-up question)
   - <2> No
   - <7> Don't Know
   - <8> Refused

   A. If yes, what Aboriginal language or languages do you understand or speak:
      - 1st language: __________________________  <7> Don't Know
      - 2nd language: __________________________  <8> Refused
      - 3rd language: __________________________  <9> Not Applicable

3. What, if any, is your religion or spiritual belief (check one):
   - <1> None
   - <2> Protestant
   - <3> Roman Catholic
   - <4> Traditional Native
   - <5> Other (specify) _____________________
   - <7> Don't Know
   - <8> Refused

4. On a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being "not at all attached" and 5 being "very attached", to what extent would you say you are currently attached to Métis culture (e.g., is it part of your everyday life, do you feel a sense of belonging) (circle one):
   - Not at all Attached
   - Somewhat
   - Very Attached
   - 1  2  3  4  5
   - <7> Don't Know
   - <8> Refused

5. Why are you attached/not attached? How would you describe your attachment to Métis culture [interviewer prompts - is it part of your everyday life; give examples of attachment/non-attachment]?
   A. Inside Institution:
      __________________________________________________________________________________
      __________________________________________________________________________________
      __________________________________________________________________________________
B. Outside Institution:

________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________
<7> Don't Know <8> Refused

6. On a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being "not at all attached" and 5 being "very attached", to what extent would you say you are currently attached to other Aboriginal culture (e.g., is it part of your everyday life, do you feel a sense of belonging) (circle one):

Not at all Attached Somewhat Very Attached
1 2 3 4 5
<7> Don't Know <8> Refused

7. Why are you attached/not attached? How would you describe your attachment to other Aboriginal culture [interviewer prompts - is it part of your everyday life; give examples of attachment/non-attachment]?

A. Inside Institution:

________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________

B. Outside Institution:

________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________
<7> Don't Know <8> Refused

8. Do you currently participate in or attend any traditional Aboriginal activities?

<1> Yes (go to follow-up question) <7> Don't Know
<2> No <8> Refused

A. If yes, which traditional activities do you participate in or attend (check all that apply):

01> Bead Making 09> Feasts 17> Storytelling
02> Sash Weaving 10> West Coast Ceremonies 18> Traditional Healing
03> Drum Making 11> Other Ceremonies 19> Medicine Bundles
04> Other arts/crafts 12> Sweat Lodges 20> Language Training
05> Jigging 13> Smudges 21> Other (specify) _______________
06> Fiddling 14> Circles 77> Don't Know
07> Traditional dancing/drum 15> Hunting/fishing/trapping 88> Refused
08> Pow-wows 16> Talk to Elder 99> Not Applicable

9. During your childhood, what type of community did you live in most of the time (check one):

<1> Large City (e.g., 100,000+ population) <6> Other (specify) _______________
<2> Small City (e.g., 10,000 to 100,000 population) <7> Don't Know
<3> Rural Community (e.g., < 10,000 population) <8> Refused
<4> Reserve
<5> Métis Community
10. At the time of your **most recent arrest**, what type of community were you living in *(check one)*:

- <1> Large City (e.g., 100,000+ population)
- <2> Small City (e.g., 10,000 to 100,000 population)
- <3> Rural Community (e.g., < 10,000 population)
- <4> Reserve
- <5> Métis Community
- <6> Other (specify) ______________________
- <7> Don't Know
- <8> Refused

11. At the time of your most recent arrest, how long had you lived in this community *(check one)*:

- <1> Less than 1 year
- <2> 1-5 years
- <3> 6-10 years
- <4> 11-15 years
- <5> 16-20 years
- <6> More than 20 years
- <7> Don't Know
- <8> Refused

12. Where do you consider home?

Name or city/community:

- <1> Large City (e.g., 100,000+ population)
- <2> Small City (e.g., 10,000 to 100,000 population)
- <3> Rural Community (e.g., < 10,000 population)
- <4> Reserve
- <5> Métis Community
- <7> Don't Know
- <8> Refused

13. In terms of staying out of trouble, where do you think would be the best place for you to be released to?

Name or city/community:

- <1> Large City (e.g., 100,000+ population)
- <2> Small City (e.g., 10,000 to 100,000 population)
- <3> Rural Community (e.g., < 10,000 population)
- <4> Reserve
- <5> Métis Community
- <7> Don't Know
- <8> Refused

14. Upon your release, where do you plan to live (not just for short-term treatment)?

Name or city/community:

- <1> Large City (e.g., 100,000+ population)
- <2> Small City (e.g., 10,000 to 100,000 population)
- <3> Rural Community (e.g., < 10,000 population)
- <4> Reserve
- <5> Métis Community
- <7> Don't Know
- <8> Refused

15. What reasons do you have for going to that community (where you plan to live)?

________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________

<7> Don't Know <8> Refused

16. What supports or resources are available for you in that community?

________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________

<7> Don't Know <8> Refused
Now I'm going to ask you a few questions about your early involvement in crime.

17. How old were you when you were first questioned by the police about anything they thought you had done?
   Age: _____  <7> Don't Know  <8> Refused

18. Were you ever in custody as a youth (open or secure)?
   <1> Yes (go to follow-up question)  <7> Don't Know
   <2> No  <8> Refused

   A. If yes, how long did you spend in youth custody (total of all sentences) (check one):
      <1> Less than 6 months  <4> 4-5 years  <8> Refused
      <2> 6 months to < 1 year  <5> More than 5 years  <9> Not Applicable
      <3> 1-3 years  <7> Don't Know

19. What type of offences did you commit as a youth (not necessarily charged for) (check all that apply):
   <01> None  <09> Prostitution
   <02> Sexual assault  <10> Drug offences
   <03> Assault  <11> Driving-related offences
   <04> Robbery  <12> Under-age drinking
   <05> Other violent (e.g., murder, manslaughter)  <13> Other (specify): ______________
   <06> Car theft  <77> Don't Know
   <07> Vandalism/mischief  <88> Refused
   <08> Other property (e.g., theft, B&E)

20. How long have you spent in adult correctional facilities to date (provincial and federal - total of all sentences) (check one):
   <01> Less than 6 months  <05> 6-7 years  <77> Don't Know
   <02> 6 months to < 1 year  <06> 8-10 years  <88> Refused
   <03> 1-3 years  <07> 11-15 years
   <04> 4-5 years  <08> More than 15 years

21. What caused you to come to jail?
   __________________________________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________________________
   <7> Don't Know  <8> Refused

22. What do you think would have prevented you from entering the corrections system (e.g., programs, services, people, education, etc.)?
   __________________________________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________________________
   <7> Don't Know  <8> Refused
SECTION B: CHILDHOOD

Now I'm going to ask you some questions about your living arrangements while you were growing up and what your childhood was like.

1. Who would you say was your primary care giver while you were growing up (i.e., the person(s) who took care of you the most) [check one] [Note: if respondent says myself - ask about secondary caregiver]:
   <01> Both Birth Parents   <06> Other Relative (specify) _____________________
   <02> Birth Mother   <07> Adoptive Parent(s)
   <03> Birth Father   <08> Other Non-Family (specify) __________________
   <04> Grandparent(s)   <77> Don't Know
   <05> Sibling   <88> Refused

2. On a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being "not at all attached" and 5 being "very attached", how would you characterize your attachment to your primary caregiver(s) while you were growing up. By attachment, I mean feelings of love, caring, trust, support and belonging [interviewer prompts - did you like/love them, did you trust them, did you feel a sense of belonging, did you spend time together] (circle one):
   Not at all Attached  Somewhat  Very Attached
   1   2   3   4  5
   <7> Don't Know  <8> Refused

3. Why would you say you were/weren't attached to your primary caregiver? Can you describe your relationship with your primary caregiver(s) [interviewer prompts - was it a positive or negative relationship, did you feel loved, was there a lot of fighting, was he/she supportive, what did you do together, give examples of attachment/non-attachment]?
   __________________________________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________________________
   <7> Don't Know  <8> Refused

4. On a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being "poor" and 5 being "above average", how would you rate your economic situation while you were growing up (circle one):
   Poor Average Above Average
   1   2   3   4  5
   <7> Don't Know  <8> Refused

5. On a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being "not at all stable" and 5 being "very stable", how would you rate the stability of your home life while you were growing up. By stability, I mean whether you had a feeling of security, reliability and routine (circle one):
   Not at all Stable Somewhat Very Stable
   1   2   3   4  5
   <7> Don't Know  <8> Refused
6. Why would you say your childhood was/wasn't stable [interviewer prompts - give examples of how your caregivers did or didn't provide you with stability - regular hours for bed, homework, regular meals, sense of security, etc.]?
________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________
<7> Don't Know  <8> Refused

7. On a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being "not at all" and 5 being "very", how would you rate how happy you were while you were growing up (circle one):
Not at all Happy  Somewhat  Very Happy
1  2  3  4  5
<7> Don't Know  <8> Refused

8. Can you describe what your family life was like while you were growing up [interviewer prompts - dynamics of family relationships, was role modelling taught, were the relationships functional, respectful and positive, etc.]?
________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________
<7> Don't Know  <8> Refused

9. Did you participate in or attend any traditional Métis activities while you were growing up?
<1> Yes  <7> Don't Know
<2> No  <8> Refused

SECTION C: FAMILY PROBLEMS

In this section, I'm going to ask you about family problems you experienced during childhood.

1. Did you experience or witness physical and/or sexual violence or emotional abuse in the home environment while you were growing up (check one):
<1> Yes - experienced (go to follow-up questions)  <4> No
<2> Yes - witnessed (go to follow-up questions)  <7> Don't Know
<3> Yes - experienced & witnessed (go to follow-up questions)  <8> Refused

A. What type(s) of violence were present (check all that apply) [interviewer: allow respondent to spontaneously answer, then prompt about specific types]:
<01> Physical violence  <06> Other (specify) _______________________
<02> Sexual violence  <07> Don't Know
<03> Psychological/emotional  <08> Refused
<04> Mental  <09> Not Applicable
<05> Spiritual
B. On a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being "not at all" and 5 being "very", to what extent do you think the violence in your home environment contributed to you being in prison (circle one):
Not at all  Somewhat  Very
1  2  3  4  5
<7> Don't Know  <8> Refused  <9> Not Applicable

C. Can you describe the effect the violence had on you [interviewer probes - how did it make you feel, how did it make you act]?
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________
<7> Don't Know  <8> Refused  <9> Not Applicable

2. Did you experience or witness any violence in the community while you were growing up (check one):
<1> Yes - experienced (go to follow-up question)  <4> No
<2> Yes - witnessed (go to follow-up question)  <7> Don't Know
<3> Yes - experienced & witnessed (go to follow-up question)  <8> Refused

A. Can you describe the effect the violence in your community had on you [interviewer probes - how did it make you feel, how did it make you act]?
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________
<7> Don't Know  <8> Refused  <9> Not Applicable

3. Did anyone responsible for your upbringing have an alcohol and/or drug problem (check one):
<1> Yes - Alcohol (go to follow-up questions)  <4> No
<2> Yes - Drugs (go to follow-up questions)  <7> Don't Know
<3> Yes - Alcohol & Drugs (go to follow-up questions)  <8> Refused

A. On a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being "not at all" and 5 being "very", to what extent do you think the substance abuse problem in your home environment contributed to you being in prison (circle one):
Not at all  Somewhat  Very
1  2  3  4  5
<7> Don't Know  <8> Refused  <9> Not Applicable

B. Can you describe the effect the substance abuse problem had on you [interviewer probes - how did it make you feel, how did it make you act]?
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________
<7> Don't Know  <8> Refused  <9> Not Applicable
4. Have any of your family been involved in the criminal justice system?
   <1> Yes (go to follow-up question)  <7> Don't Know
   <2> No  <8> Refused

   A. To what extent were your family member(s) involved in crime? What were the circumstances?
   
   
   
   
   <7> Don't Know  <8> Refused  <9> Not Applicable

SECTION D: CURRENT RELATIONSHIP WITH FAMILY

In this section, I'm going to ask you some questions about your current family relationships.

1. [If currently has spouse/common-law] Do you presently have regular contact with your spouse/common-law (e.g., see or talk to them regularly - at least every 6 months)?
   <1> Yes (go to follow-up questions)  <7> Don't Know  <9> Not Applicable
   <2> No  <8> Refused

   A. If yes, currently, how often do you have contact (check one):
      <01> More than once a day  <05> Several times a month  <77> Don't Know
      <02> Once a day  <06> Once a month  <88> Refused
      <03> Several times a week  <07> Several times a year  <99> Not Applicable
      <04> Once a week  <08> Less often

   B. On a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being "not at all attached" and 5 being "very attached", how would you characterize your current attachment to your partner (circle one):
      Not at all Attached  Somewhat  Very Attached
      1  2  3  4  5
      <7> Don't Know  <8> Refused  <9> Not Applicable

2. Do you have any children (including biological, step or adopted)?
   <1> Yes (go to follow-up questions)  <7> Don't Know
   <2> No  <8> Refused

   A. If yes, do you presently have regular contact with your child(ren) (e.g., see or talk to them regularly - at least every 6 months)?
      <1> Yes (go to follow-up questions)  <7> Don't Know  <9> Not Applicable
      <2> No  <8> Refused
B. If yes, currently, how often do you have contact (check one):
<01> More than once a day
<02> Once a day
<03> Several times a week
<04> Once a week
<05> Several times a month
<06> Once a month
<07> Several times a year
<08> Less often
<09> Not Applicable
<06> Other Relative (specify) _____________________
<07> Other Non-Family (specify) __________________
<08> Refused
<09> Not Applicable

C. On a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being "not at all attached" and 5 being "very attached", how would you characterize your current attachment to your child(ren) (circle one):

Not at all Attached
Somewhat
Very Attached

1 2 3 4 5
<7> Don't Know  <8> Refused  <9> Not Applicable

3. Other than your spouse/common-law partner and children, do you presently have regular contact with your immediate or extended family (e.g., see or talk to them regularly - at least every 6 months)?
<1> Yes (go to follow-up questions)
<2> No
<7> Don't Know
<8> Refused
<9> Not Applicable

A. If yes, with whom in your family do you presently have regular contact (check all that apply):
<01> Mother
<02> Father
<03> Sibling(s)
<04> Grandmother
<05> Grandfather
<06> Other Relative (specify) _____________________
<07> Other Non-Family (specify) __________________
<08> Refused
<09> Not Applicable

B. Currently, how often do you have contact with any family members (check one):
<01> More than once a day
<02> Once a day
<03> Several times a week
<04> Once a week
<05> Several times a month
<06> Once a month
<07> Several times a year
<08> Less often
<09> Not Applicable
<08> Other (specify) __________________
<09> Not Applicable

4. On a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being "not at all attached" and 5 being "very attached", how would you characterize your attachment to your family currently (circle one for each):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Not at all</th>
<th>Somewhat</th>
<th>Very</th>
<th>DK</th>
<th>Refuse</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mother</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td>&lt;7&gt; &lt;8&gt; &lt;9&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Father</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td>&lt;7&gt; &lt;8&gt; &lt;9&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sibling(s)</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td>&lt;7&gt; &lt;8&gt; &lt;9&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grandmother</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td>&lt;7&gt; &lt;8&gt; &lt;9&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grandfather</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td>&lt;7&gt; &lt;8&gt; &lt;9&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: ____________________</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td>&lt;7&gt; &lt;8&gt; &lt;9&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: ____________________</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td>&lt;7&gt; &lt;8&gt; &lt;9&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: ____________________</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td>&lt;7&gt; &lt;8&gt; &lt;9&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Can you describe your current relationship with your family overall [interviewer prompts - do you love them, do you like them, do you spend time together; describe relationship with individual family members]? 

________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________

<7> Don't Know    <8> Refused

SECTION E: PROGRAM PARTICIPATION

In this section, I'm going to ask you some questions about programs you may have participated in during the time you have been incarcerated within the federal correctional facility or on parole.

1. Are you aware of what programs are available within the federal institution?
   <1> Yes  <7> Don't Know
   <2> No   <8> Refused

2. Have you participated in any programs within the federal institution?
   <1> Yes (go to follow-up question)  <7> Don't Know
   <2> No   <8> Refused

A. If yes, which programs have you participated in within the federal institution (check all that apply):
   <01> Substance Abuse  <09> Cultural
   <02> Education  <10> Psychological Services
   <03> Employment  <11> Other (specify) ________________
   <04> Cognitive/Living Skills  <12> Other (specify) ________________
   <05> Pre-Release  <77> Don't Know
   <06> Sex Offender  <88> Refused
   <07> Anger Management  <99> Not Applicable
   <08> Counselling

3. Have you participated in any programs while on parole?
   <1> Yes (go to follow-up question)  <7> Don't Know
   <2> No   <8> Refused

A. If yes, which programs have you participated in while on parole (check all that apply):
   <01> Substance Abuse  <09> Cultural
   <02> Education  <10> Psychological Services
   <03> Employment  <11> Other (specify) ________________
   <04> Cognitive/Living Skills  <12> Other (specify) ________________
   <05> Pre-Release  <77> Don't Know
   <06> Sex Offender  <88> Refused
   <07> Anger Management  <99> Not Applicable
   <08> Counselling
4. If participated in any program - were any of the programs Aboriginal-specific?

- Yes (go to follow-up question)
- No

A. If yes, which programs were Aboriginal-specific (check all that apply):

- Substance Abuse
- Education
- Employment
- Cognitive/Living Skills
- Pre-Release
- Sex Offender
- Anger Management
- Counselling

5. Were any of the programs Métis-specific?

- Yes (go to follow-up question)
- No

A. If yes, which programs were Métis-specific (check all that apply):

- Substance Abuse
- Education
- Employment
- Cognitive/Living Skills
- Pre-Release
- Sex Offender
- Anger Management
- Counselling

6. Did you complete any of the program(s)?

- Yes (go to follow-up question A)
- No (go to follow-up question B)

A. If YES, which programs did you complete (check all that apply):

- Substance Abuse
- Education
- Employment
- Cognitive/Living Skills
- Pre-Release
- Sex Offender
- Anger Management
- Counselling

B. If NO, why didn't you complete the program?

- Don't Know
- Refused
- Not Applicable
7. On a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being "not at all" and 5 being "very", how would you rate the usefulness of the programs that you have participated in (circle one for each):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Program</th>
<th>Not at all</th>
<th>Somewhat</th>
<th>Very</th>
<th>Don't Know</th>
<th>Refuse</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Program 1:</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&lt;7&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program 2:</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&lt;7&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program 3:</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&lt;7&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program 4:</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&lt;7&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program 5:</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&lt;7&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A. Why would you say the program(s) have/haven't been useful?
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________

<7> Don't Know  <8> Refused

8. What do you think would improve programs at the institution or in the community?
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________

<7> Don't Know  <8> Refused

9. Are there any programs that you would like to see at the institution or in the community?
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________

<7> Don't Know  <8> Refused

SECTION F: NEEDS

In this section, I'm going to ask you some questions about your needs while in the institution and once released.

1. Could you explain what issues you were facing at the time you were incarcerated (check all that apply)?
   <01> Employment  <09> Anger
   <02> Financial   <10> Violence
   <03> Housing     <11> Self Esteem
   <04> Substance Abuse  <12> Other (specify)___________________________
   <05> Marital/family issues <13> Other (specify)___________________________
   <06> Death in family <77> Don't Know
   <07> Health       <88> Refused
   <08> Mental Health
2. Describe your circumstances at the time of your incarceration.
________________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________________

<7> Don't Know   <8> Refused

3. What do you think your needs, as a Métis person, are in the institution?
________________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________________

<7> Don't Know   <8> Refused

4. What do you think your needs, as a Métis person, are upon release to the community?
________________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________________

<7> Don't Know   <8> Refused

5. Do you think your needs, as a Métis inmate, are different from the needs of non-Aboriginal inmates?
<1> Yes          <7> Don't Know
<2> No           <8> Refused

A. How do you see your needs as different/not different from non-Aboriginal inmates?
________________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________________

<7> Don't Know   <8> Refused   <9> Not Applicable

6. Do you think your needs, as a Métis inmate, are different from the needs of other Aboriginal inmates?
<1> Yes          <7> Don't Know
<2> No           <8> Refused

A. How do you see your needs as different/not different from other Aboriginal inmates?
________________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________________

<7> Don't Know   <8> Refused   <9> Not Applicable
7. Upon your release, what will need to be in place for you to successfully remain in the community (e.g., programs, services, people, education, etc.)?
________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________
<7> Don't Know   <8> Refused

8. What do you think the needs of your family are while you are in the institution?
________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________
<7> Don't Know   <8> Refused

9. What do you think the needs of your family will be upon your release to the community?
________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________
<7> Don't Know   <8> Refused

10. Can you describe any issues that you may have relating to the application, interpretation and policies as they relate to Métis offenders.
________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________
<7> Don't Know   <8> Refused

11. Can you give me the name of one or more family members with whom you have maintained contact that I can contact to ask some questions about the needs of Métis offenders and their families?

Person 1: ____________________ Relationship ____________________
Address: ______________________________________________________________________

Person 2: ____________________ Relationship ____________________
Address: ______________________________________________________________________
<7> Don't Know   <8> Refused
Is there any information that you would like to add that may change the correctional experience for yourself or other Métis offenders?

____________________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________________

Do you have any questions? Thank you very much for your time.
Appendix D: Family Interview
NEEDS OF MÉTIS OFFENDERS
INTERVIEW QUESTIONS - FAMILY MEMBERS

My name is (first name). I'm involved in a project that examines the needs of Métis offenders while in federal correctional facilities and in the community. You're one of a number of family members we'll be interviewing over the next few weeks. The purpose of this interview is to discuss the needs of Métis offenders and families while the offenders are incarcerated and once they are released. For instance, I will be asking you questions about the needs of the offender and family. This information is meant to help develop culturally-appropriate and specific programs for Métis offenders.

Your participation in this interview is voluntary and will be kept strictly confidential. You may stop at any time and if there are questions that you do not feel comfortable answering, please let me know and we will move on. Please feel free to ask me questions during the interview if you need further clarification on anything.

The interview will take approximately ½ an hour to complete. Do you have any questions? Can you please sign this to indicate your agreement to participate?

Offender Name: _________________________________
(please print)

I agree to participate in the interview

_________________________________  ___________________
(participant name - please print)     (participant signature)     (date)
SECTION A: BACKGROUND

I'm going to begin by asking you some general questions about yourself.

1. Gender:
   <1> Male  <2> Female

2. Are you Aboriginal (First Nations/Indian, Métis or Inuit)?
   <1> Yes, First Nations   <4> No
   <2> Yes, Métis    <7> Don't Know
   <3> Yes, Inuit <8> Refused

3. What type of community do you currently live in (check one):
   <1> Large City (e.g., 100,000+ population)   <5> Métis Community
   <2> Small City (e.g., 10,000 to 100,000 population) <6> Other (specify) ________________
   <3> Rural Community (e.g., < 10,000 population) <7> Don’t Know
   <4> Reserve <8> Refused

4. How long have you lived in this community (check one):
   <1> Less than 1 year  <4> 11-15 years  <7> Don’t Know
   <2> 1-5 years    <5> 16-20 years  <8> Refused
   <3> 6-10 years   <6> More than 20 years

5. What supports or resources are available for you in this community?
   __________________________________________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________________________________
   <7> Don't Know <8> Refused

SECTION B: RELATIONSHIP TO OFFENDER

Now I'm going to ask you some questions about your relationship with the offender.

1. What is your relationship to the offender (check one):
   <01> Spouse  <05> Father  <77> Don't Know
   <02> Common-law  <06> Sibling <88> Refused
   <03> Girl/Boyfriend  <07> Other Family (specify) _________________________________
   <04> Mother  <08> Non-Family (specify) _________________________________
2. How long have you known the offender (check one):
   <1> Less than 1 year  <4> 11-15 years  <7> Don't Know
   <2> 1-5 years    <5> 16-20 years  <8> Refused
   <3> 6-10 years   <6> More than 20 years

3. Do you presently have regular contact with the offender (e.g., see or talk to them regularly - at least every 6 months)?
   <1> Yes (go to follow-up question)  <7> Don't Know
   <2> No  <8> Refused

   A. If yes, currently, how often do you have contact (check one):
      <01> More than once a day  <05> Several times a month  <77> Don't Know
      <02> Once a day  <06> Once a month  <88> Refused
      <03> Several times a week  <07> Several times a year  <99> Not Applicable
      <04> Once a week  <08> Less often

4. On a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being "not at all attached" and 5 being "very attached", how would you characterize your current attachment to the offender (circle one):
   Not at all Attached   Somewhat   Very Attached
   1   2   3   4  5
   <7> Don't Know  <8> Refused

5. On a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being "not at all positive" and 5 being "very positive", how would you characterize how positive your relationship is with the offender (circle one):
   Not at all Positive   Somewhat   Very Positive
   1   2   3   4  5
   <7> Don't Know  <8> Refused

6. What do you think is the attitude of other family members toward the offender upon his/her release to the community?

   __________________________________________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________________________________
   <7> Don't Know  <8> Refused

7. What role do you think you will play with your family member once he/she is released to the community?

   __________________________________________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________________________________
   <7> Don't Know  <8> Refused
8. Do you anticipate facing any issues upon the release of your family member to the community?
   <1> Yes (go to follow-up questions)   <7> Don’t Know
   <2> No   <8> Refused

A. If yes, what issues do you anticipate?
   _______________________________________________________________________
   _______________________________________________________________________
   _______________________________________________________________________
   <7> Don’t Know   <8> Refused   <9> Not Applicable

B. How do you anticipate resolving the issues?
   _______________________________________________________________________
   _______________________________________________________________________
   _______________________________________________________________________
   <7> Don’t Know   <8> Refused   <9> Not Applicable

9. Do you support your family member’s reintegration in the community? For instance, are you prepared to participate in things such as programming, providing accommodation, transportation, employment, etc.?
   <1> Yes   <7> Don’t Know
   <2> No   <8> Refused

A. Why are/aren’t you prepared to participate in your family member’s reintegration?
   _______________________________________________________________________
   _______________________________________________________________________
   _______________________________________________________________________
   <7> Don’t Know   <8> Refused

SECTION C: OFFENDER NEEDS

In this section, I’m going to ask you some questions about the needs of the offender while in the institution and once released.

1. What caused your family member to come to jail?
   _______________________________________________________________________
   _______________________________________________________________________
   _______________________________________________________________________
   _______________________________________________________________________
   _______________________________________________________________________
   _______________________________________________________________________
   _______________________________________________________________________
   <7> Don’t Know   <8> Refused
2. Do you think the needs of Métis inmates are different from the needs of non-Aboriginal inmates?
   <1> Yes
   <2> No
   <7> Don't Know
   <8> Refused

   A. How are the needs of Métis inmates different/not different from non-Aboriginal inmates?

   ________________________________________________________________________________
   ________________________________________________________________________________
   ________________________________________________________________________________
   <7> Don't Know
   <8> Refused

3. Do you think the needs of Métis inmates are different from the needs of other Aboriginal inmates?
   <1> Yes
   <2> No
   <7> Don't Know
   <8> Refused

   A. How are the needs of Métis inmates different/not different from other Aboriginal inmates?

   ________________________________________________________________________________
   ________________________________________________________________________________
   ________________________________________________________________________________
   <7> Don't Know
   <8> Refused

4. Do you think there are programs or services currently available, in the institution or the community, that are effective and supportive for your family member?
   <1> Yes (go to follow-up question A)
   <2> No (go to follow-up question C)
   <7> Don't Know
   <8> Refused

   A. If YES, what programs do you think are effective and supportive to your family member (check all that apply):
      <01> Substance Abuse
      <02> Education
      <03> Employment
      <04> Cognitive/Living Skills
      <05> Pre-Release
      <06> Sex Offender
      <07> Anger Management
      <08> Counselling
      <09> Cultural
      <10> Psychological Services
      <11> Other (specify) ________________________________
      <12> Other (specify) ________________________________
      <77> Don't Know
      <88> Refused
      <99> Not Applicable

   B. Why do you think the program(s) are effective?

   ________________________________________________________________________________
   ________________________________________________________________________________
   ________________________________________________________________________________
   ________________________________________________________________________________
   <7> Don't Know
   <8> Refused
   <9> Not Applicable
C. **If NO**, why do you think the program(s) aren't effective?

____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________

<7> Don't Know   <8> Refused   <9> Not Applicable

5. What do you think your family member (the inmate) needs the most while in the institution?

____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________

<7> Don't Know   <8> Refused

6. What do you think your family member (the inmate) needs the most upon release to the community?

____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________

<7> Don't Know   <8> Refused

7. What do you think your family member (the inmate) needs the most for sustained success in the community?

____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________

<7> Don't Know   <8> Refused

**SECTION D: FAMILY NEEDS**

Now I'm going to ask you some questions about your needs.

1. As a family member of a Métis offender, are services and programs currently available to you while your family member is incarcerated?
   <1> Yes (go to follow-up questions)   <7> Don't Know
   <2> No   <8> Refused

   **A. If yes**, what services or programs are available to you (check all that apply):
   <01> Visitation program   <06> Other (specify) ________________________
   <02> Transportation to the institution   <07> Other (specify) ________________________
   <03> Counselling   <08> Refused
   <04> Social Services   <09> Not Applicable
   <05> Elders   <77> Don't Know
   <88> Refused
   <99> Not Applicable
B. Were any of the programs Aboriginal-specific?
<1> Yes
<2> No
<7> Don't Know
<8> Refused
<9> Not Applicable

C. Were any of the programs Métis-specific?
<1> Yes
<2> No
<7> Don't Know
<8> Refused
<9> Not Applicable

D. What services or programs have you accessed (check all that apply):
<01> Visitation program
<02> Transportation to the institution
<03> Counselling
<04> Social Services
<05> Elders
<06> Other (specify) ________________________
<07> Other (specify) ________________________
<08> Refused
<09> Not Applicable

2. Are there any programs that you would like to see?
________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________
<7> Don't Know
<8> Refused

3. As a family member of a Métis offender, do you think services and programs will be available to you once your family member is released to the community?
<1> Yes (go to follow-up question)
<2> No
<7> Don't Know
<8> Refused

A. If yes, what services or programs will be available to you (check all that apply):
<01> Visitation program
<02> Transportation to the institution
<03> Counselling
<04> Social Services
<05> Elders
<06> Other (specify) ________________________
<07> Other (specify) ________________________
<08> Refused
<09> Not Applicable

4. Do you think there are areas that have caused you difficulty in your life (e.g., addictions, health, mental health, etc.)?
<1> Yes (go to follow-up questions)
<2> No
<7> Don't Know
<8> Refused

A. If yes, what areas have caused you difficulty (check all that apply):
<01> Substance Abuse
<02> Education
<03> Employment
<04> Living Skills
<05> Health
<06> Mental Health
<07> Other (specify) __________________________
<08> Other (specify) __________________________
<09> Other (specify) __________________________
<77> Don't Know
<78> Not Applicable
<79> Other (specify) __________________________
<80> Other (specify) __________________________
<81> Other (specify) __________________________
<82> Other (specify) __________________________
<83> Other (specify) __________________________
<84> Other (specify) __________________________
<85> Other (specify) __________________________
<86> Other (specify) __________________________
<87> Other (specify) __________________________
<88> Refused
<89> Not Applicable
<90> Other (specify) __________________________
<91> Other (specify) __________________________
<92> Other (specify) __________________________
<93> Other (specify) __________________________
<94> Other (specify) __________________________
<95> Other (specify) __________________________
<96> Other (specify) __________________________
<97> Other (specify) __________________________
<98> Other (specify) __________________________
<99> Not Applicable
B. Can you describe how you have addressed these issues since your family member has been incarcerated?

____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________

<7> Don’t Know  <8> Refused  <9> Not Applicable

5. What do you think you need to successfully support your family member while incarcerated?

____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________

<7> Don’t Know  <8> Refused

6. What do you think you will need to be able to successfully support your family member upon release to the community?

____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________

<7> Don’t Know  <8> Refused

7. What do you think you will need to be able to successfully support your family member for sustained success in the community?

____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________

<7> Don’t Know  <8> Refused

Is there any information that you would like to add that may change the correctional experience for yourself or Métis offenders?

____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________

Do you have any questions? Thank you very much for your time.
Appendix E: Staff Focus Group
NEEDS OF MÉTIS OFFENDERS
FOCUS GROUP - INSTITUTIONAL STAFF

Note: Institutional staff includes, Institutional Parole Officer (IPO), Correctional Officer (CXII), Correctional Supervisor, Unit Manager and Program Co-ordinator.

List names of participants, gender, cultural identity, role with offender:
_____________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________

1. From your experience, what do you see as the difference between Métis inmates’ needs and other Aboriginal or non-Aboriginal inmates’ needs while incarcerated?

2. How does the cultural diversity of inmates challenge your role? Are you aware of the differences between cultures, or do you consider all Aboriginal inmates to be of the same culture?

3. What training, if any, do you have about the Mêtis culture?

4. What do you see as the most significant needs for Métis inmates while incarcerated? Upon release to the community?

5. What do you believe is currently offered, both in the institution and in the community that is effective and supportive to Métis inmates?

6. What suggestions do you have that would improve the resources and meet the specific cultural needs of Métis offenders in British Columbia?

7. What do you believe the needs of the inmate’s family to be?

8. What programs and services, that you are aware of in the institution and community, are available for the families of Métis inmates?

9. What suggestions do you have that would improve the support to families of Métis inmates, both in the institution and in the community?

10. Can you describe any issues that you may have relating to the application, interpretation and policies as they relate to Métis offenders.